7.13.20

Proposed 2020 Amendment of Connecticut Rule 8.4(7) and Official Commentary, Showing Changes from 2016 Proposal

## Rule 8.4. Misconduct

It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

. . .

(7) Engage in conduct that the lawyer knows[ingly] or reasonably should know is harassment or [knowingly engage in a] discrimination[ory act] on the basis of race, color, ancestry, sex, pregnancy, religion, national origin, ethnicity, [physical] disability, [mental disability]status as a veteran, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression[,] or marital status [or socioeconomic status] in conduct related to the practice of law. This paragraph does not limit the ability of a lawyer to[:(A)] accept, decline or withdraw from a representation; or [(B)] to provide advice, assistance, or advocacy consistent with these Rules[related to the practice of law].

## OFFICIAL COMMENTARY

. . .

Discrimination and harassment [by lawyers] in the practice of law undermine[s] confidence in the legal profession and the legal system. Discrimination [means taking an adverse action] includes harmful verbal or physical conduct directed at an individual or individuals [on the basis of the protected categories] that manifests bias or prejudice on the basis of one or more of the protected categories[ towards others]. Not all [adverse actions] conduct that involves consideration of these characteristics manifests bias or prejudice: there may be a legitimate nondiscriminatory motive for the action. [For example, consideration of a person's disability would not be discriminatory if it rendered the person incapable of performing a required function, such as serving as an expert witness.]

Harassment includes severe [and] or pervasive derogatory or demeaning verbal or physical conduct. Harassment on the basis of sex includes unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other unwelcome verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature.

The substantive law of antidiscrimination and anti[-]harassment statutes and case law should guide application of paragraph (7), where applicable. Where the conduct in question is subject to [a lawyer acts in accordance with] federal or[and] state anti[-]discrimination or antiharassment law[s], a lawyer's conduct does not violate paragraph (7) when the conduct does not violate such law[this rule]. Moreover, an administrative or judicial finding of a violation of state or federal anti[-]discrimination or antiharassment laws does not alone establish a violation of paragraph (7).

 A lawyer's conduct does not violate paragraph (7) when the conduct in question is [consistent with the lawyer's rights] <u>protected</u> under the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States or Article First, Section 4 of the Connecticut Constitution.

Conduct related to the practice of law includes representing clients; interacting with witnesses, coworkers, court personnel, lawyers and others while engaged in the practice of law; operating or managing a law firm or law practice; and participating in bar association, business or [social] <u>professional</u> activities <u>or events</u> in connection with the practice of law.

 [A lawyer's conduct does not violate paragraph (7) when the conduct in question is consistent with the lawyer's rights under the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States or Article First, Section 4 of the Connecticut Constitution.] Lawyers also may engage in conduct undertaken to promote diversity, equity and inclusion without violating this Rule by, for example, implementing initiatives aimed at recruiting, hiring, retaining and advancing diverse employees or sponsoring diverse law student organizations.

A trial judge's finding that peremptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone establish a violation of paragraph (7). Moreover, no disciplinary violation may be found where a lawyer exercises a peremptory challenge on a basis that is permitted under substantive law. A lawyer does not violate paragraph (7) by limiting the scope or subject matter of the lawyer's practice or by limiting the lawyer's practice to members of underserved populations in accordance with these Rules and other law. A lawyer may charge and collect reasonable fees and expenses for a representation. Rule 1.5(a). Lawyers also should be mindful of their professional obligations under Rule 6.1 to provide legal services to those who are unable to pay, and their obligation under Rule 6.2 not to avoid appointments from a tribunal except for good cause. *See* Rule 6.2(1), (2) and (3). A lawyer's representation of a client does not constitute an endorsement by the lawyer of the client's views or activities. *See* Rule 1.2(b).